Fitch Rates Eastern Municipal Water District, CA's Subordinate Revenue Bonds 'AA+'; Outlook Positive

Wed 21 Apr, 2021 - 1:56 PM ET

Fitch Ratings - San Francisco - 21 Apr 2021: Fitch Ratings has assigned a 'AA+' rating to the following Eastern Municipal Water District, CA (EMWD or the district) obligations:

--Approximately $102.4 million subordinate refunding water and wastewater revenue bonds, series 2021A and 2021B.

In addition, Fitch has affirmed the following obligations issued by the district or the Eastern Municipal Water District Financing Authority, CA on behalf of the district at 'AA+':

--$855.3 million subordinate water and sewer revenue bonds.

--$31.4 million Western Riverside Water and Wastewater Financing Authority revenue bonds (Eastern Municipal Water District improvement district GO bond financing), series 2005A and 2009.

The bonds are expected to sell via negotiation on or around May 31. Proceeds will be used to refund all or portions of the district's refunding water and wastewater revenue bonds,
series 2018B ($52.8 million outstanding), and refunding water and wastewater revenue bonds, series 2018C ($47.8 million).

Fitch also affirmed the Issuer Default Rating (IDR) of the EMWD at 'AA+'.

The Rating Outlook has been revised to Positive from Stable.

**ANALYTICAL CONCLUSION**

The Outlook revision to Positive reflects Fitch's expectation that the district's leverage, measured as net adjusted debt to adjusted funds available for debt service (FADS), will continue to decline gradually over the next five years in line with a 'AAA' rating. Leverage was 4.1x in fiscal 2020 and assuming it remains below 5.0x as indicated in Fitch's base and rating cases, upward rating movement would likely occur in the near term.

The 'AA+' IDR and issue ratings reflect the district's low leverage within the framework of very strong revenue defensibility and low operating risk. The district maintains rate setting autonomy and a high degree of rate affordability. The system has manageable capital needs largely based upon growth-related expansion, which has been driven by an affordability advantage over nearby coastal regions and the availability of undeveloped land within commuting distance of major Southern California employment centers.

The senior lien, which is not closed, does not currently have any debt (all outstanding debt matured July 1, 2020). The subordinate lien bonds account for approximately 97% of total debt while the GO bonds comprise about 3%.

**CREDIT PROFILE**

As the sixth largest water district in the state, EMWD provides essential retail water, recycled water, and sewer services to a large and diverse suburban service area in western Riverside County (the county). The service area covers 550 square miles and population of about 850,000, including all or portions of the cities of Temecula, Murrieta, Moreno Valley, Hemet, San Jacinto and Perris, as well as unincorporated areas within the county. The formerly agricultural region suburbanized rapidly in recent decades and is close to Orange, Los Angeles and San Diego Counties.
The district’s water supply is about evenly split between local sources and water imported from Metropolitan Water District of Southern California (IDR AA+/Stable). Local water includes recycled water (37% of supply), potable groundwater (6%) and desalinated groundwater (6%). EMWD has invested heavily in local supplies and has long recycled most of its wastewater flows. This provides a highly reliable source of irrigation water that is not subject to interruptions due to weather variability and shifting environmental regulations that constrain imports. The district has also invested in groundwater production, including desalination of brackish groundwater.

Coronavirus Considerations

District revenues and delinquencies have not experienced any material effects from the pandemic.

**KEY RATING DRIVERS**

**Revenue Defensibility 'aa'**

High Rate Flexibility; Favorable Service Area Characteristics

The district's board has the legal ability to set rates, which are affordable for the vast majority of the population and expected to remain so for the foreseeable future. All revenues are derived from its monopolistic business lines. Growth within the service area is higher than average, while income levels are about even with the U.S. average.

**Operating Risks 'aa'**

Very Low Cost Burden and Manageable Capital Needs

The district's operating cost burden is very low despite its reliance upon imported water. Capital needs are manageable with a moderate life cycle ratio supported by annual
spending at least equal to depreciation. No additional debt is expected to fund the five-year capital improvement plan (CIP).

Financial Profile 'aaa'

Leverage to Remain Low

The district's low leverage is expected to continue to gradually decline given no additional planned debt and assumed moderate rate increases. The liquidity cushion and coverage of full obligations (COFO) are sound and are considered neutral to the assessment.

ASYMMETRIC ADDITIVE RISK CONSIDERATIONS

No asymmetric additional risk considerations affected this rating determination.

RATING SENSITIVITIES

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to positive rating action/upgrade:

--Sustained leverage under 5.0x would likely lead to an upgrade provided stable revenue defensibility and operating risk assessments.

Factors that could, individually or collectively, lead to negative rating action/downgrade:

--A sustained increase in leverage approximating 6.0x or higher provided stable revenue defensibility and operating risk assessments;

--A sustained increase in the operating cost burden approximating $6,500 per million gallon (mg) or higher could pressure the operating risk assessment if not offset by slightly lower leverage.
BEST/WORST CASE RATING SCENARIO

International scale credit ratings of Sovereigns, Public Finance and Infrastructure issuers have a best-case rating upgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a positive direction) of three notches over a three-year rating horizon; and a worst-case rating downgrade scenario (defined as the 99th percentile of rating transitions, measured in a negative direction) of three notches over three years. The complete span of best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings for all rating categories ranges from 'AAA' to 'D'. Best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings are based on historical performance. For more information about the methodology used to determine sector-specific best- and worst-case scenario credit ratings, visit https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10111579.

SECURITY

The subordinate lien bonds issued by the district are payable from net revenues on a second lien basis. In addition, the subordinate lien bonds issued by the authority are payable from installment payments from the district to the authority from net revenues on a second lien basis. In addition, all outstanding senior lien bonds matured on July 1, 2020, though the lien remains open.

The GO bonds are payable from net system revenues after payment of all revenue bonds (senior and subordinate lien) from available reserves and from ad valorem property taxes. The bonds are rated to the water and sewer revenue pledge.

There are no cross-default provisions or acceleration in the event of default within the legal framework of the obligations.

REVENUE DEFENSIBILITY

The district's revenue defensibility is assessed at 'aa'. All revenues are derived from monopolistic businesses of providing essential water and sewer services. In fiscal 2020, the district's largest single revenue source were customer charges at approximately 67%, made up of water sales (36%), sewer sales (28%) and recycled and irrigation water sales (2.5%). Connection fees and property taxes each provided about 12% of total revenues.
The district's board of directors has independent rate-setting authority and customer charges are affordable for the vast majority of the population, providing a high degree of rate flexibility. Rates increased 3.7% in fiscal 2021 on a combined basis for water and sewer. Projected annual increases for water include volumetric and fixed-rate increases of 1.8% to 4.0% through fiscal 2025 as well as purchased water cost increases of 4% to 5%. Projected annual increases for sewer are 1.8% to 4.0% through fiscal 2025. However, these rates are subject to board approval, and the district plans a new cost of service study in 2022 to inform these expected rate increases. This level of annual rate increase is not expected to pressure overall rate flexibility. In addition, in 2020 the district partnered with United Way to implement a customer assistance program to aid customers having difficulty staying current in their payments.

Riverside County’s affordable housing stock and proximity to employment centers in San Bernardino, San Diego, and Los Angeles counties have driven recent growth. Customer account growth over the past five years has been above average with a five-year CAGR of 1.6%. Wealth levels approximate the U.S. average while unemployment is slightly above the average. The service area will likely continue to grow as it is only about 40% developed. Concentration risk is limited with the top 10 water and sewer customers providing well under 10% of operating revenues.

OPERATING RISKS

The district's operating risk is assessed at 'aa'. The operating cost burden has remained under $5,300 per mg over the last five years despite fluctuations in water production, but is on a slight upward trend. Imported water purchases are the district's largest expense representing about 20% of operating expenditures. Fitch expects the operating cost burden to continue to increase gradually, but remain under the 'aa' threshold of $6,500 per mg for at least the next five years.

The district's life cycle ratio of 38% suggests moderate investment needs. Although the metric has increased modestly over the last few years, the district has been investing in assets with annual capital expenditures equal to at least depreciation. The five-year CIP totals $545.8 million and is focused on maintenance and growth-related expansion. As such, some projects will not go forward without growth. The district has received grant funding for 50% (about $45 million) of its Perris North Basin Groundwater Project and plans to fund the remaining CIP projects with connection fees and paygo. It has no plans for additional borrowing over the five-year horizon.
FINANCIAL PROFILE

The district's leverage position is low and expected to decline modestly over the forecast horizon with no additional debt expected. Leverage declined over the last five years with an increase in cash levels and operating margins and stood at 4.1x at fiscal 2020. Liquidity and coverage support the 'aaa' assessment, with days cash on hand of 593 and COFO, which includes fixed services expenses related to the MWD purchases, at 2.4x at fiscal 2020. Fitch-calculated debt service coverage was 3.0x for fiscal 2020.

Fitch Analytical Stress Test (FAST)

The FAST considers the potential trend of key ratios in a base case and a stress case. The stress case is designed to impose capital costs 10% above expected base case levels and evaluate potential variability in projected key ratios. Management's financial projections informed Fitch's base case. The forecast reflects expected rate increases through fiscal 2025, continued annual discretionary payments of about $19 million for the other post-employment benefit (OPEB) and pension liabilities, as well as water purchase cost increases. The district's CIP is also incorporated into the forward look.

Using these assumptions, leverage gradually declines by fiscal 2025 to approximately 3.8x and 4.1x in the base and stress cases, respectively. Positive rating action would likely occur in the near term if peak leverage in Fitch's scenarios analysis continues to remain below 5.0x.

ASYMMETRIC ADDITIVE RISK CONSIDERATIONS

No asymmetric additive risk considerations affected this rating determination.

SOURCES OF INFORMATION

In addition to the sources of information identified in Fitch's applicable criteria specified below, this action was informed by information from Lumesis.
REFERENCES FOR SUBSTANTIALLY MATERIAL SOURCE CITED AS KEY DRIVER OF RATING

The principal sources of information used in the analysis are described in the Applicable Criteria.

ESG CONSIDERATIONS

Unless otherwise disclosed in this section, the highest level of ESG credit relevance is a score of '3'. This means ESG issues are credit-neutral or have only a minimal credit impact on the entity, either due to their nature or the way in which they are being managed by the entity. For more information on Fitch's ESG Relevance Scores, visit www.fitcratings.com/esg.

VIEW ADDITIONAL RATING DETAILS

FITCH RATINGS ANALYSTS

Shannon Groff
Director
Primary Rating Analyst
+1 415 732 5628
Fitch Ratings, Inc.
One Post Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94104

Audra Dickinson
Director
Secondary Rating Analyst
+1 512 813 5701

Douglas Scott
Managing Director
Committee Chairperson
+1 512 215 3725

MEDIA CONTACTS

Sandro Scenga
New York
Sandro Scenga
+1 212 908 0278
sandro.scenga@thetchgroup.com

Additional information is available on www.fitchratings.com

**APPLICABLE CRITERIA**
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**ADDITIONAL DISCLOSURES**

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form

Solicitation Status

Endorsement Policy

**ENDORSEMENT STATUS**
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SOLICITATION STATUS

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

ENDORSEMENT POLICY

Fitch’s international credit ratings produced outside the EU or the UK, as the case may be, are endorsed for use by regulated entities within the EU or the UK, respectively, for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU CRA Regulation or the UK Credit Rating Agencies (Amendment etc.) (EU Exit) Regulations 2019, as the case may be. Fitch’s approach to endorsement in the EU and the UK can be found on Fitch’s Regulatory Affairs page on Fitch’s website. The endorsement status of international credit ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.